Dalmiyanagar factories

1816. SHRI SURAJ PRASAD: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the Dalmiyanagar factories have been locked out since last three years;

(b) whether it is a fact that the employees are starving;

(c) whether it is also a fact that the Supreme Court has declared the lock-out illegal and has asked the management to pay salaries to the employees; and

(d) what steps have been taken to open the factories?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Rohtas Industries Limited, Dalmiyanagar employing nearly 15,000 workers is lying closed since 9-9-1984.

(b) and (c) The workers of Rohtas Industries Ltd. have filed a Writ petition in the Supreme Court for payment for their wages and the matter is *sub-judice*.

(d) The State Government of Bihar are to formulate a proposal for revival of RIL, having regard to the financial and other implications.

Chairmen of public sector units

1817. SHRI SANTOSH KUMAR SAHU: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) the names of the public sector units under his Ministry alongwith the names of the Chairmen of each unit; and

(b) the details of funds spent on publicity and mode of publicity of these units, unit-wise, during the last two years?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC ENTERPRISES (SHRI K. K. TEWARI): (a) A statement containing the names of the Public Sector Units and names of Chief Executives for each unit is annexed. [*See* Appendix CXXXIX Annexure No. 115.j

(b) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

Supply of Pick Van by M/s. Bajaj Tempo Ltd.

1818. SHRI F. M. KHAN: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that under a new scheme announced recently by Maharashtra Government, M/s. Bajaj Tempo Limited, Pune were to supply complete Bajaj F-305 Pick Van through their various agents at a price of about Rs. 95,000;

(b) whether Government are aware that M/s. J. J. Motor, Jhandewalan, New Delhi have not supplied complete van, with all accessories, to the purchasers in Delhi, though they charged a price of Rs. 95,000 from them;

(c) if the answer to part (b) above be in the affirmative, what action Government propose to take against the firm to get the extra amount refunded to the purchasers in Delhi;

(d) whether it is also a fact that M/s. J. J. Motors are charging Rs. 2,400 in addition to Rs. 95,000 towards transport of Bajaj F-305 Pick Vans to the purchasers; and

(e) if so, whether it is in violation of the scheme announced by the Government of Maharashtra recently?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Government of Maharashtra had announced concession in Central Sales Tax on sale

of Light Diesel Vehicles in the course of inter-state trade directly to the customers. Bajaj Tempo was marketing its Pick ups model F-305 High Slung Pickup at the price of Rs. 93,736.12 Ex-Works and Rs. 94,798.02 Ex-Works respectively on inter-state sale basis directly to customers outside the State of Maharashtra.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) Does not arise.

(d) It has been stated by Bajaj Tempo Limited that M/s. J. J. Motor Corporation when acting on behalf of the customer to transport the vehicle from Akurdi, Pune to New Delhi, charges Rs. 2,240 in addition to the Ex-works price of the vehicle.

(e) Recovery of transportation charges by the transporter from the customer is not against the scheme which is related to concession in Sales Tax.

Piston Plant Project in Orissa

1819. SHRI K. VASUDEVA PANICKER: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether IPICOL,which was issued a Letter of Intent for setting up a Piston Plant Project in the 'No Industry District' of Mayurbhanj in Orissa, has requested the Government of India to permit change of location of the unit to Bhubaneshwar;

(b) if so, whether the permission has been granted;

(c) if not, what are the reasons therefor; and

(d) by when a decision is likely to be taken in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) No, Sir.

(c) The applicant firm viz. M/s. Associated Piston Ltd., Bhubaneshwar, the newly incorporated company of IPICOL were advised to submit their application in prescribed format. Request in prescribed format has not yet been received from the party.

(d) After receipt of firm's request it will be considered on merit.

1820. [*Transferred to the 14th August,* 1986.]

Child marriage

1821. SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE:
SHRI SURESH PACHOURI:
SHRI MAHENDRA PRASAD:

Will the Minister of LAW AND JUSTICE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to a news item that appeared in the *Hindustan Times* of July 14, 1986 captioned "Children marriages still pervalent";

(b) whether Government have verified the facts and collected data with regard to prevalence of Child marriages in different States and Union Territories; and

(c) if so, the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF LAW AND JUSTICE (SHRI H. R. BHARDWAJ): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) and (c) The Government had collected the data in respect of the prosecutions launched under the Child Marriage Restraint Act, 1929 from the State Governments and Union Territory Administrations for the years 1977, 1978 and 1979. It was revealed that there have been prosecutions under the Act during these years in Gujarat, Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Tamilnadu, West Bengal and Andaman and Nicobar Islands. The details are set out in the statement given below. (*See* below). The remaining States and Union Territories have reported that there were no prosecutions during the aforesaid period.